African Journal of Biochemistry Research

Volume 11 Number 7, July 2017 ISSN 1996-0778



ABOUT AJBR

The African Journal of Biochemistry Research (AJBR) (ISSN1996-0778) is published Monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

African Journal of Biochemistry Research (AJBR) provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of Biochemistry such as Nutritional biochemistry, Analytical biochemistry, Clinical Biochemistry, Human and Plant Genetics, Molecular and Cell Biology, Enzymology, Toxicology, Plant Biochemistry, Biochemistry Education etc. The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles are peer-reviewed.

Contact Us

Editorial Office:	ajbr@academicjournals.org
Help Desk:	helpdesk@academicjournals.org
Website:	http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/AJBR
Submit manuscript online	http://ms.academicjournals.me/

Editor

Prof. Johnson Lin School of Biochemistry, Genetics, Microbiology and Plant Pathology University of KwaZulu-Natal (Westville) Private Bag X 54001, Durban Republic of South Africa

Associate Editors

Gregory Lloyd Blatch Dept Biochemistry Microbilogy& Biotechnology Rhodes University Grahamstown 6140 South Africa

Dr. SerapYalin Mersin University, Faculty of Pharmacy, Department of Biochemistry, YenisehirKampusu, Mezitli 33161 Mersin/Turkey

Dr. Om Prakash Gupta Directorate of Wheat Research (ICAR) Post Box-158, A grasainMarg, Karnal-132001, Haryana, India

Editorial Board

Dr. Desouky A.M. Abd-El-Haleem Biological Sciences Department, College of Arts and Sciences, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar

Dr. S.K. Trigun Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Section, Banaras Hindu University Varanasi-221005, India

Dr. ImedGallouzi McGill University, Biochemistry Department, 3655 Promenade Sir William OslerMontreal, Quebec, H3G 1Y6, Canada

Dr. Ashraf A Khalil Protein Technology Lab, Mubarak City for Science, New Borg Elarab, Alexandria, Egypt.

Dr. Stanley Mukanganyama Department of Biochemistry, University of Zimbabwe, Box MP 167, Mount Pleasant,Harare, Zimbabwe

Prof. Salah A. Sheweita Taibah University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Biochemistry, PO Box 30001, Madinah, Saudi Arabia

Dr Oluwafemi O Oguntibeju Department of Clinical Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Spartan Health Sciences University, P.O. Box 324, Vieux Fort, St Lucia, West Indies

Dr. Robert L. Brown USDA ARS, Southern Regional Research Center 1100 Robert E. Lee Blvd., New Orleans, LA 70124 Dr. Edward Eteshola Biomedical Engineering Center Davis Heart and Lung Research Institute Ohio State University 473 W. 12th Avenue Columbus, OH 43210

G. Suresh Kumar Senor Scientist and Head Biophysical Chemistry Laboratory Indian Institute of Chemical Biology Council of Scientific and Industrial Research Jadavpur, Kolkata 700 032, India

Xu Lu Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Colorado State University Fort Collins, CO 80523-1870 USA

Mohammed A.A Sarhan Dept. Biological Sciences Faculty of Science King Khalid University Saudi Arabia

MehrdadBehmanesh Department Of Genetics School Of Science P.O.Box 114-175 Tehran Iran Iran

Hans Verhagen P.o Box 1 3720 Ba Bilthoven The Netherlands Netherlands

P.K.Sumodan Post Graduate Department Of Zoology Government College Madappally India India

BalesengMoseki University Of Botswana Botswana Bhaskar C. Behera Agharkar Research Institute Plant Science Division India India

Luiz Claudio Miletti Universidade Do Estado De Santa Catarina Brasil

Oladipo Gabriel Sunday University Of Port Harcourt Port Harcourt-Nigeria Nigeria

Basiouny Ahmed El-Gamal Biochemistry Department Faculty Of Science Alexandria University Egypt

AminigoEbiokpo Rebecca University Of Port Harcourt Portharcourt-Nigeria Nigeria

JiaZeng Department Of Bioengineering Central South University Changsha Hunan 410083 P.R.China China

Adenike Kuku ObafemiAwolowo University Department Of Biochemistry Nigeria

Elsayed Hafez Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Institute Egypt

Gabriella Castoria Via L. De Crecchio 7 -80138 Naples Department Of General Pathology Italy

SalwaSeddik Abdel-Latif 21 Elbatal Ahmed Abdel Aziz Elmohandesien Giza Egypt Erasto Vitus Mbugi Muhimbili University Biochemistry Department School Of Medicine India

Mohamed Rholam Université Paris7 - Denis-Diderot France

Hooi Ling Foo Universiti Putra Malaysia Malaysia

JayanthRao Biochemistry And Nutrition Cftri Mysore India

Maznah Ismail Universiti Putra Malaysia

Svetlana Lutsenko Oregon Health & Science University USA

Gabriel Ugwem Rivers State University Of Science And Technology P.M.B. 5080 Port Harcourt Nigeria

HariChhatpar Dept. Of Microbiology & Biotechnology Centre Faculty Of Science M.S.University Of Baroda Vadodara 390 002 Baroda India

MahiuddinAlamgir The University Of New South Wales Sydney Nsw-2052 Australia

Sheeja Samuel Edwin B.R Nahata College of Pharmacy & Research Centre India

William Cho Room 1305 13/F Block R Department of Clinical Oncology Queen Elizabeth Hospital 30 Gascoigne Road Kowloon Hong Kong Dr. SurainiAbd-Aziz Universiti Putra Malaysia Malaysia

Dr. Mustafa NumanBucak Lalahan Livestock Central Research Institute Lalahan Ankara Turkey

Alparslan Kadir Devrim Department Of Biochemistry Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Kafkas University 36040 Kars Turkey

Vasudev R. Thakkar Sardar Patel University Brd School of Biosciences Sardar Patel University Nagar

Prof. Emmanuel Anosike Department Of Biochemistry University Of Port Harcourt Nigeria

Dr. Usama Beshay New Bourg El-Arab City, Research Area Alexandria 21934 Egypt

Dr. Ramar Perumal Samy Department of Anatomy Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine National University of Singapore Singapore

Dr. Shin-ichi ONO Laboratory of Clinical Pharmacy College of Pharmacy, Nihon University Japan

Prof. Lawal Bilbis Biochemistry Department UsmanuDanfodiyo University Sokoto Nigeria

Dr. Adriana G. Chicco Department of Biochemistry University of Litoral, Santa Fe Argentina Prof. Zia-Ur Rahman Department Of Physiology and Pharmacology University Of Agriculture Falsalabad Pakistan

Dr. Oluwole Ariyo Allen University USA

Prof. Francisco Torrens Institut Universitari de Ciència Molecular Universitat de València Spain

Prof. Belkhodja Moulay University of Senia Oran Algeria

Dr. Hossam M Ashour Department of Microbiology and Immunology Faculty of Pharmacy, Cairo University Egypt

Dr. Fidelis Ocloo Biotechnology and Nuclear Agriculture Research Institute/GAEC Ghana

Ass. Prof. Alfonso Baldi Dept. Biochemistry, Sect. Pathology Second University of Naples, Italy

Dr. Anandh Babu Pon Velayutham Department of Human Nutrition Foods and Exercise 253 Wallace Hall Virginia Tech Blacksburg VA 24061 USA

Dr. Tapan K. Chaudhuri Department of Biochemical Engineering and Biotechnology Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, HauzKhas New Delhi-110016, India.

Dr. Rong Zhang Shenyang Pharmaceutical University China Ass. Prof. Tzong-Jih Cheng Department of Bio-Industrial Mechatronics National Taiwan University Taiwan

Dr. Zuyong Xia Department of Radiology, 1201 Welch Rd, Room P089, Stanford, CA 94301 USA

Dr. Pratap Kumar Das Indian Institute of Chemical Biology India

Dr. Vasudeo Pandharinath Zambare Advanced Enzyme Technologies Ltd India

Dr. A M Mujumdar Agharkar Research Institute India

Prof. Christine Clayton ZMBH ImNeuenheimer Feld 282 69120 Heidelberg Germany

Prof. Rekik Boul baba ESA Mateur Département des sciences et techniques de productions animales Tanzania

Dr. Farhad Mirzaei National Dairy Research Institute, NDRI Karnal India

Dr. ROUABHI Rachid Biology Department Tebessa University. Algeria

Prof. Vaclav Vetvicka University of Louisville USA Dr. Ramesh Putheti, Ph.D Research scientist Actavis Pharmaceuticals 10065 red run blvd,owings mills Blvd,Maryland.USA.21030 USA

Prof. Dr. Mustafa NAZIROGLU Head of Department of Biophysics Medical (TIP) Faculty, SuleymanDemirel University Cunur, TR-32260 Isparta TURKEY

Dr. José Luis Arias Mediano GrupolnvestigaciónFarmaciaPráctica (CTS-205) Dept. Farmacia y TecnologíaFarmacéutica Facultad de Farmacia Campus Universitario de Cartuja, s/n Universidad de Granada 18071 Granada.

Ahmed Malki, PhD Lecturer of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Biochemistry Department Fcaulty Of Science Alexandria University Alexandria, Egypt

Dr. Alireza Seidavi (PhD) Assistant Professor of Animal and Poultry Nutrition, Department of Animal Science, College of Agriculture, Islamic Azad University, Rasht Branch, Rasht, Iran

Amani S. Awaad Professor of pharmacognosy, Chemistry Department Faculty of Sciences, King Saud University . Riyadh. KSA. P.O. Box 22452, Riyadh 11495. Saudi Arabia

Dr. Abdel-TawabMossa Environmental Toxicology Research Unit (ETRU), Pesticide Chemistry Department, National Research Centre, Dokki, Egypt Dr. Amal A. Mohamed Plant Biochemistry Department, Agriculture Division - National Research Center, 31-El-Tahrir St., Dokki, Cairo – Egypt

Dr. Anabella Gaspar Department of Biochemistry, University of Pretoria, South Africa

Dr. Anna Janecka Department of Biomolecular Chemistry, Medical University of Lodz, Mazowiecka 6/8, 92-215 Lodz, Poland

Dr. Caser Abdel Horticulture Department, Dohuk University, Iraq

Dr. David Sheehan Dept Biochemistry, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr. Dayananda Chandrappa Center for Bioenergy, Department of Life and Physical Sciences, Cooperative Research, Lincoln University, Jefferson City, USA

Dr. Elsayed Abdelaal Special Graduate Faculty, University of Guelph, Onatrio, Canada

Dr. Etienne Marbaix CELL Unit, de Duve Institute, UCL-75.41, 75 avenue Hippocrate, B-1200 Bruxelles, Belgium Dr. Gary L. Firestone Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA

Dr. Henryk Zielinski Institute of Animal Reproduction and Food Research, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland

Dr. Irshad A. Nawchoo Department of Botany, University of Kashmir, India

Dr. LuchaiButkhup Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Technology, Mahasarakham University, Mahasarakham 44000, Thailand

Dr. LuminitaVladescu Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Bucharest, Romania

Dr. Mira Debnath School of Biochemical Engineering, Institute of Technology - Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India

Dr. Nilesh S. Panchal Department of Biosciences, Saurashtra University, Rajkot-360005, Gujarat. India

Dr. Rayappa A. Balikai University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka- 580 005, India Dr. SaadTayyab Institute of Biological Sciences, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Dr. Shijun Fu Institute of Health Sciences, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences and Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, P. R. China Dr. Shiming Zhang Weis Center for Research, Geisinger Clinic, Danville, Pennsylvania, USA

Dr. Thomas Efferth Department of Pharmaceutical Biology, Institute of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, University of Mainz, Heidelberg, 55128 Mainz, Germany **African Journal of Biochemistry Research**

Table of Contents: Volume 11 Number 7 July 2017

ARTICLE

Optimization of amylase production by Aspergillus niger cultivated on yam peels in solid state fermentation using response surface methodology Samuel Kwatia Victoria Pearl Dzogbefia and Isaac William Ofosu

34

academicJournals

Vol. 11(7), pp. 34-42, July 2017 DOI: 10.5897/AJBR2017.0941 Article Number: 7EC9FC565349 ISSN 1996-0778 Copyright © 2017 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/AJBR

African Journal of Biochemistry Research

Full Length Research Paper

Optimization of amylase production by *Aspergillus niger* cultivated on yam peels in solid state fermentation using response surface methodology

Samuel Kwatia^{1*} Victoria Pearl Dzogbefia¹ and Isaac William Ofosu²

¹Department of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana.

²Department of Food Science and Technology, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana.

Received 17 April, 2017; Accepted 12 June, 2017

The study involved the production of amylase from *Aspergillus niger* grown on yam peels in solid state fermentation. The process parameters: temperature, pH (initial) and incubation time were optimized for maximum amylase production using central composite design (CCD) of response surface methodology (RSM). Temperature was the most significant (p<0.05) parameter and the maximum interaction occurred between temperature and incubation time. The results of the study indicated that amylase is maximized (30.95 U/ml-min) at optimized levels of 49.53°C, 5.95 and 104 h for temperature, pH (initial) and incubation periods, respectively.

Key words: Response surface methodology (RSM), *Aspergillus niger*, central composite design, solid state fermentation (ssf), amylase, production, optimization.

INTRODUCTION

Amylase is one of the most important industrial enzymes that have found applications in the brewing, starch processing, textile, baking, pharmaceutical and detergents industries (Johnson et al., 2014). Amylase hydrolyzes starch molecules to yield various products, comprising dextrins and progressively smaller polymers composed of glucose units (Reddy et al., 2003). Amylase can be obtained from plants, animals and microorganisms (Saranraj and Stella, 2013); however, industries have much preference for enzymes from microbial sources (Xu et al., 2008). Amylases for industrial activities are relatively nonexistent in local Ghanaian industries, as a result, some of these businesses have stopped production and the few that are still in business utilize the staple foods such as maize, millet and sorghum as sources of amylase (Nyamful et al., 2014), which negatively impact on food security. Some local industries that are able to import these enzymes incur high cost in production. The commercial enzyme when stored for some time also tend to lose its stability (Dzogbefia et al., 2001) due to the uneven power fluctuations in the country. This has called

*Corresponding author. E-mail: samuelkwatias@gmail.com. Tel: +233261431206.

Author(s) agree that this article remains permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> License 4.0 International License



Figure 2. *A. niger* area of hydrolysis on starch amended PDA.

for the local production of amylase to be produced commercially and supplied to these local industries upon demand.

The economic bulk production of enzymes on cheap substrates such as agro wastes in solid state fermentation using *Aspergillus niger* has been reported (Bhargav et al., 2008; Pandey et al., 1999). These agro wastes are abundant in Ghana and underutilized; they could be put to an alternative use; as solid support (substrate) for amylase production. Maximal production of amylase at reduced cost is realized either by strain improvement or optimization of process parameters (Prajapati et al., 2014). With respect to this, the current study aims to optimize the effect of pH, temperature and incubation time on the production of amylase by *A. niger* cultivated on yam peels in solid state fermentation using central composite design (CCD) of response surface methodology (RSM).

Response surface methodology (RSM) is a collection of statistical and mathematical techniques convenient for developing, improving and optimizing processes in which several variables influence the response of interest (Myers et al., 2009; Baş and Boyacı, 2007). Traditionally, optimization of processes involved varying one factor while the other factors were kept at their constant levels, that is, one-variable-at-a-time (OVAT). This procedure has received much criticism in the sense that, it is unable to consider the interactive effect among all the factors on the final outcome (Baş and Boyaci, 2007). The OVAT approach has also been found to be time wasting, laborious and waste of chemicals (Prajapati et al., 2014). Response surface methodology can be used to overcome these limitations since its application can identify and quantify the various interactions among several parameters with less experimental runs (Shankar et al., 2015; Francis et al., 2003). There has been an extensive use of RSM for optimizing amylase productions

(Hassan and Karim, 2015; Kalaiarasi and Parvatham, 2013; Tamilarasan et al., 2012).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Substrate (yam peel) preparation

Tubers of yam were purchased from the local market (Kejetia, Kumasi). These tubers were carefully washed under running water and peeled. The peels were oven dried at 60°C and milled to a particle size of about 0.3 mm mesh using Retsch KG Hammer miller (SKI, 23008, West Germany).

Aspergillus niger isolation

A piece of bread purchased was kept in a moist environment for 4 days for it to grow mouldy. 10 g of the mouldy bread was serially diluted and plated on potato dextrose agar (Sigma – Aldrich) in Petri dishes for 4 days at room temperature. Growths observed on the plates were randomly selected and 5 different colonies were selected after the spore colour and morphology confirmed that they were *A. niger*. The isolates were designated KV1B to KV5B. These were sub-cultured onto PDA slants and kept in the refrigerator for further studies.

Lactophenol cotton blue staining

A drop of 70% alcohol and lactophenol cotton blue stain were centrally placed onto a glass slide. Fragments of about 2 to 4 mm were isolated from the colonies edge and placed on the stain with gentle teasing. A coverslip was gently placed on and viewed under a microscope to ascertain the morphology of the fungal strain.

Determination of A. niger spore concentration

The spore concentration of *A. niger* was determined using the method outlined by Bentil et al. (2015) with little modifications. The spore concentration obtained was 3.96×10^{6} cells/ml.

Confirmation of amylase activity

The ability of the isolated *A. niger* to hydrolyze starch was investigated using the procedure outlined by Uguru et al. (1997). A zone of clearance around the fungal growth indicated amylase activity. The isolate KV5B had the largest area of clearance of 29 mm (Figure 2) and thus selected for further studies.

Preparation of inoculum

Spore suspension of *A. niger* KV5B was prepared by scrapping off fungal spores within a 1 cm corkborer with 40 ml of distilled water. This was made up to a 60 ml mark. Two (2) ml of this suspension was used to inoculate the substrate.

Enzyme production

Five grams of the yam peels was weighed into a 100 ml Erlenmeyer flask and moistened with 5 ml of fermentation medium (KCI – 1.25 g, KH₂PO₄ – 0.35 g, MgSO₄.7H₂O – 0.025 g, NH₄NO₃ – 2.5 g, FeSO₄.7H₂O – 0.0025 g, soluble starch – 5, distilled water – 250 ml



Figure 1. A. niger (40X) displaying conidiophores and conidia.

at pH 6.5) (Sethi and Gupta, 2015). The mixture was sterilized at 121°C for 15 min and cooled, after which each Erlenmeyer flask containing the substrate was inoculated with 2 ml of the spore suspension. Experiments were performed as outlined in Table 2.

Enzyme extraction

Fifty (50) ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6) was poured on each substrate bed and agitated for 30 min at 250 rpm using a rotary shaker. The suspension was filtered using a cheese cloth and the filtrate was centrifuged at 3600 g for 15 min. The decanted supernatant was used as the crude enzyme.

Assay for amylase activity

Amylase activity was determined using the method described by Sindiri et al. (2013). The reaction mixture consisted of 0.5 ml of the crude enzyme, 0.5 ml of 1% soluble starch in 0.02 M phosphate buffer with 0.06M NaCl, pH 6.9. The mixture was incubated for 3 min at room temperature, the reducing sugars liberated were estimated using the 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method (Miller, 1959). Colour development was read at 540 nm with a UV – mini spectrophotometer (SHIMADZU). One unit of amylase (U) was defined as the amount of enzyme that released 1 µmol glucose equivalent per minute under assay conditions using glucose. Protein concentration (Lowry et al., 1951) was determined using bovine serum albumin as a standard curve.

Optimization using response surface methodology (RSM)

Production of amylase was optimized using a 2^{3} - factorial central composite design (CCD) with six star – (α) – points (α = ±1.682), six replicates at the center points and eight cube points. Independent variables (initial pH (A), temperature (B) and incubation time (C)) were optimized by assessing each factor at 5 different levels as shown in Table 1. In all, a total of 20 different experimental runs were carried out. A central coded value taken as zero was used to set all the variables. The maximum and minimum ranges of each variable was determined based on perusal of literature and the full experimental plan showing the actual values are shown in Table 2. The average amylase activity (U/ml-min) of each run was taken as the dependent variable (y) for that experimental run.

Statistical analysis

The effect of each of these variables in amylase production was analyzed using the analysis of variance (ANOVA), after which regression analysis was performed on the data obtained. The results obtained from the CCD were used to fit a second – order polynomial equation of the form:

 $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 A + \beta_2 B + \beta_3 C + \beta_{11} A^2 + \beta_{22} \beta^2 + \beta_{33} C^2 + \beta_{12} A B + \beta_{13} A C + \beta_{23} B C 1$

since this appropriately represented the behavior of such a system (Sun et al., 2011).

where y = predicted amylase response β_0 = intercept $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ = variables linear effect $\beta_{11}, \beta_{22}, \beta_{33}$ = variables squared effects $\beta_{12}, \beta_{13}, \beta_{23}$ = interaction effect of variables A, B, C, A², B², C², AB, AC, BC = independent variables

Fischer's test was used to test significance of variables and multiple coefficient of determination R squared (R^2) value was used to explain the proportion of variance by the model. Triplicate determinations were carried out for all the experimental runs and the averages reported. Design Expert V. 7.1 software (Stat-Ease Inc., Minneapolis, USA), was used for these analyses.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Serial dilution from bread sample and subsequent plating on potato dextrose agar aided in the random selection of 5 different fungal colonies which were designated KV1B – KV5B. Lactophenol cotton blue staining ascertained that these colonies were *A. niger*. The conidiophores were smooth and about 2 to 4 nm long; spherical vesicles were observed on the top of globose conidia (Figure 1). Ogbonna et al. (2015) isolated *A. niger* from soil sample which exhibited similar characteristics. The easy identification and isolation of *A. niger* from bread sample confirm reports by Oyedeji (2016) and Okoko and

Laurala	Independent variables				
Leveis	рН	Temperature (°C)	Time (h)		
α (+ 1.682)	7.7	70.2	168.5		
+1	7.0	60.0	144.0		
0	6.0	45.0	108.0		
-1	5.0	30.0	72.0		
-α (-1.682)	4.3	19.8	47.5		

 Table 1. Experimental range and levels of the three factors used in the central composite design (CCD) for amylase production by A. niger. KV5B

Table 2. Full factorial central composite design (CCD) matrix with their corresponding experimental and predicted responses for amylase production by A. niger. KV5B

Run	Factor 1:A: pH (initial)	Factor 2: B: Temperature (°C)	Factor 3: C: Time(h)	Y: Observed response (activity U/ml-min)	Y: Predicted response (activity, U/ml-min)
1	6.00	19.77	108.00	5.95	2.76
2	5.00	30.00	72.00	15.74	17.04
3	7.00	30.00	72.00	15.45	17.00
4	5.00	30.00	144.00	5.60	7.90
5	7.00	30.00	144.00	5.71	7.96
6	6.00	45.00	47.46	18.75	18.00
7	6.00	45.00	108.00	34.90	30.16
8	6.00	45.00	108.00	35.85	30.16
9	6.00	45.00	108.00	28.27	30.16
10	6.00	45.00	108.00	20.63	30.16
11	7.68	45.00	108.00	30.03	28.14
12	6.00	45.00	108.00	32.33	30.16
13	4.32	45.00	108.00	29.96	28.31
14	6.00	45.00	108.00	28.36	30.16
15	6.00	45.00	168.54.	14.09	11.31
16	5.00	60.00	72.00	20.81	21.06
17	7.00	60.00	72.00	20.61	20.81
18	5.00	60.00	144.00	21.20	22.15
19	7.00	60.00	144.00	20.78	21.99
20	6.00	70.23	108.00	18.28	17.94

Ogbomo (2010) that *A. niger* is the most common microorganism associated with the spoilage of bread.

Response surface methodology

Response surface methodology of central composite design was employed to investigate the interactive effects of the factors that affected maximal production of the amylase from a fungal source in solid state fermentation. The factors that were considered: initial pH (A), temperature (B) and incubation time (C) have been reported as the most influential factors to a noticeable extent, that affected enzyme production in solid state fermentation (Bhimba et al., 2011). Table 2 shows the CCD experimental plan with the observed and predicted response for the experimental runs. Determination of the optimum levels of each selected factor was made possible by solving the regression equation and by analysis of the response surface and contour plots. The effect of the variables (initial pH, temperature and incubation time) on amylase production was expressed in a second order polynomial regression of the form (2). The regression equation provided the level of amylase production () as a function of initial pH, temperature and incubation time.

Source	Sum of squares	Df	Mean square	F Value	p- value Prob > F	
Model	1427.69	9	158.63	7.97	0.0016	Significant
A-pH(initial)	0.033	1	0.033	1.65E-03	0.9684	
B-Temp	278.15	1	278.15	13.97	0.0039	
C-Time	54.01	1	54.01	2.71	0.1306	
AB	0.023	1	0.023	1.16E-03	0.9735	
AC	3.92E-03	1	3.92E- 03	1.97E-04	0.9891	
BC	52.28	1	52.28	2.63	0.1362	
A ²	6.73	1	6.73	0.34	0.5739	
B ²	706.98	1	706.98	35.51	0.0001	
C ²	432.92	1	432.92	21.74	0.0009	
Residual	199.1	10	19.91			
Lack of Fit	41.94	5	8.39	0.27	0.9133	Not significant
Pure Error	157.16	5	31.43			
Cor Total	1626.79	19				

Table 3. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for amylase production by A. niger KV5B

Table 4. Summary of the ANOVA for amylase production by A. niger. KV5B

Std. Dev . = 4.46	$R - squared (R^2) = 0.8776$
Mean = 21.17	Adj R – squared = 0.7675
C.V (%) = 21.08	Predicted R – squared = 0.6661
PRESS = 543.22	Adeq. Precision = 8.684

Where y=predicted amylase activity; A, B and C are the coded values of initial pH, temperature and incubation time, respectively. The statistical significance of each of these factors were evaluated using the analysis of variance (ANOVA).

From the ANOVA (Table 3), the model had an F -value of 7.97. Statistically, this implied that the model was significant and there was only 0.16% chance that the model F -value could occur due to error. Probability values (Prob>F) < 0.05 specified model terms were significant. Thus, B, B² and C² were significant terms in maximizing amylase production since their Prob>F values were 0.39, 0.01 and 0.09% respectively (Table 3). Statistically, temperature affected the enzyme production in SSF more than any of the other two factors (p<0.05) (Table 3). Lokeswari (2010) reported that temperature was the most significant factor amongst other two factors when Bacillus subtilis in SSF was used to optimize amylase production by employing RSM. Incubation time was also observed to be the most significant factor when Sun et al. (2011) used RSM to optimize amylase produced by Bacillus subtilis ZFJ-1A5 in SSF. These results are similar to the current study as temperature was found to be the most influential factor, therefore indicating that temperature plays critical role in enzyme production. An F - value of 0.27 for lack of fit (Table 3),

implied the lack of fit was insignificant relative to the pure error. Prob>F value of lack of fit indicated that the quadratic model was valid and adequate for the optimization of the parameters that obtained optimum production of the amylase enzyme. Closeness of the multiple correlation coefficient value (R^2) (Table 4) to 1 indicated better correlation between the predicted and actual values. The model offered a relatively high determination coefficient with an R² value of 0.8776 (Table 4), indicating the model could explain 87.6% of the responses variability. Predicted R^2 (0.666) was in reasonable agreement with the adjusted R^2 (0.767) (Table 4) since the difference between both R^2 was < 0.200 (Hassan and Karim, 2015). The degree of accuracy with which the experiments were associated was indicated by the coefficient of variation (CV); a high value of CV usually indicated a lower reliability of the experiment (Prajapati et al., 2014). This study showed a low CV of 21.08% (Table 4), signifying that the experiments performed were dependable. The signal to noise ratio is measured by the adequate precision (Hassan and Karim, 2015). The study showed a ratio of8.684 (Table 4) for adequate signal (a ratio greater than 4 is desirable), implying that the model was reliable in optimizing the chosen process variables for maximum amylase production.



Figure 3. Three dimensional (3D) response surface and contour plot showing the effect of temperature and initial pH on amylase production when incubation time was kept at an optimized level of 104 h.

Usually, the optimal levels and interaction effects of the factors are analyzed using 3 –dimensional (3D) contour plots. Interaction between variables are considered negligible when circular contour plots are observed, however, a perfect interaction among the variables are indicated by the development of elliptical contours of which the smallest eclipse in the contour represent the maximum/optimum level (Hassaïne et al., 2014).

Interaction effects of the variables in maximizing amylase production were studied among any two independent variables, while the other independent variable was kept at its optimized level. The possible combination of the variables in maximizing amylase production is shown in Figures 3 to 5. Figure 3 shows the interaction between temperature and pH (initial), while incubation time was kept at the optimized level. Low and high levels of temperature did not result in maximum amylase production. Maximum amylase production was recorded in the middle level of temperature (45 to 52.50°C). Variations in pH (5 to 7) were only marginal in the production of amylase. However, a slight increase was observed at pH value of about 6.

The shape of the response curve also indicated a moderate interaction between these tested values. The reduction in metabolism of the microorganism and the concomitant reduction in enzyme synthesis (Roses and Guerra, 2009) may account for the low activities at low temperatures. Higher temperatures mav cause inactivation or halting of cell viability and enzyme denaturation (Hassan and Karim, 2015; Roses and Guerra, 2009), this may be the reason for the low activities observed at high temperatures (60°C). Optimum temperature ranges between 50 to 55°C have been reported, especially, for the thermophilic fungal cultures of Thermomyces lanuginosus, Talaromyces emersonii and Thermomonospora fusca (Haasum et al., 1991). Temperatures of 70 (Uguru et al., 1997), 45 (Sethi and Gupta, 2015) and 40 and 45°C (Suganthi et al., 2011) have also been reported of amylases from *A. niger*. It therefore appears that the particular strain of *A. niger* used also influenced the optimum temperature for enzyme production. Figure 3 also depicts that the *A. niger* had preference for pH within the acidic and neutral levels as the chosen levels (5 to 7) supported enzyme production. pH optima of 5.5 (Uguru et al., 1997) and 7.2 (Tamilarasan et al., 2012) have been reported for *A. niger* and *A. oryzae*, respectively.

Figure 4 shows the interaction between incubation time and initial pH while temperature was held at the optimized level. Low and high incubation periods recorded low amylase activities. The highest activity was recorded in the middle levels (90 to 108 h) of incubation. Short incubation period offers potential for inexpensive production of enzymes (Vishnu et al., 2014). Low activity at longer incubation period may be as a result of the depletion of nutrients and sugar content in the media and indirectly resulting in the generation of secondary metabolites by the fungus, thereby inhibiting enzyme production (Alnour et al., 2015; Abdullah et al., 2014). Optimum incubation periods of 5 (Ruban et al., 2013) and 4 days (Uguru et al., 1997) for A. niger have been reported. Thus, the incubation period obtained in this study is consistent with others. Effect of pH levels also seemed minimal when it interacted with incubation time as both high and low levels of pH caused only a slight decrease in amylase activity as compared to the high activity round pH 6. As indicated earlier, initial pH levels posed minimal influence on the amylase activity as the chosen levels (pH 5 to 7) have been reported to favour the growth of A. niger (Gowthaman et al., 2001).

Figure 5 shows the interaction between incubation time and temperature, while pH was kept at the optimized



Figure 4. Three dimensional (3D) and contour plot showing the effect of incubation time and initial pH on amylase production when temperature was kept at an optimized level of 49.53°C.



Figure 5. Three dimensional (3D) response surface and contour plot showing the effect of incubation time and temperature on amylase production when initial pH was kept at an optimized level of 5.95.

level. High and low levels of both factors resulted in low activities. The highest activity was recorded in the middle levels of both factors, that is, 90 to 108 h and 45 to 52.50°C for incubation time and temperature, respectively. Decrease in amylase activity at longer incubation periods and higher temperatures may be attributed to the evaporation of moisture in the substrate and amylase decomposition by the interaction with other components in the medium (Kalaiarasi et al., 2008). A similar simultaneous increase and decrease in amylase activity when incubation time and temperature were not in their optimal ranges have been reported (Sun et al., 2011). Temperature seems to be more significant than incubation

time in enhancing amylase activity as the contours are heading towards the temperature level (Figure 5).

From the 3D surface plots, it has been deduced that the ranges of initial pH, temperature and incubation time that can result in maximum amylase production are 5 to 6, 45 to 52.50° C and 90 - 108 h, respectively. These ranges were further analyzed to determine the optimum value of each factor.

The solution for optimization of amylase production as illustrated from the RSM is shown in Table 5. The pH (initial), temperature and incubation time were set in range while amylase activity was set in the maximum yield. The optimum values predicted were pH - 5.95, temperature –

Name	Goal	Lower limit	Upper limit	Weight	Weight	Importance
pH(initial)	Is in range	5.0	7.0	1	1	3
Temp	Is in range	30.0	60.0	1	1	3
Time Inc	Is in range	72.0	144.0	1	1	3
Activity	Maximize	5.6	35.8	1	1	5
Solutions						
Number	pH(initial)	Temperature	Time	Activity	Desirability	
1	5.95	49.53	104	30.95	0.838	Selected

Table 5. Solution for optimizing amylase production by A. niger. KV5B

Table 6. Validation of amylase production by *A. niger KV5B* using the predicted optimized values of initial pH (5.95), temperature (59.53°C) and incubation time (104 h). KV5B

Run	Enzyme Activity (U/ml/min) (experimental)	Enzyme Activity (U/ml/min) (predicted)	Percentage difference (%)
1	30.41	30.95	1.15
2	32.05	30.95	6.24
3	29.66	30.95	4.15

49.53°C, incubation time – 104 h (4 days 8 h) and an amylase activity of 30.95 U/ml-min. The desirability value of 83.8% which is close to 100% indicated a high favorability of the response value and the tendency in obtaining the predicted enzyme activity value using these optimized values was high.

A triplicate experimental run was conducted using the optimized values to validate the predicted response as shown in Table 6. The results shown in Table 6 proved that these predicted values from RSM can be used in optimizing amylase production using *A. niger* in SSF as the predicted and experimental values were very close.

Conclusion

From the results obtained, it appears that the application of RSM in SSF to optimize process factors for amylase production in developing countries such as Ghana is feasible. This method can be applied to locally produce amylase for our industries, thus, cutting down the challenges associated with the acquisition of enzymes for industrial applications.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

Abdullah R, Shaheen N, Idtedar M, Naz S, Iftikhar T (2014). Optimization of cultural conditions for the production of alpha amylase by Aspergillus niger (BTM -26) in solid state fermentation. Pak. J. Bot. 46(3):1071-1078.

- Alnour MI, Bashir KI, Elyas O, Elkhidir EE, Ibrahim HM (2015). Optimization of some culture conditions to enhance amylase production using response surface methodology. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 4(12):157-165.
- Baş D, Boyacı İH (2007). Modeling and optimization I: Usability of response surface methodology. J. Food Eng. 78(3):836-845.
- Bentil JA, Dzogbefia VP, Alemawor F (2015). Enhancement of the nutritive value of cocoa (*Theobroma cacao*) bean shells for use as feed for animals through a two-stage solid state fermentation with *Pleurotus ostreatus* and *Aspergillus niger*. Int. J. Appl. Microbiol Biotechnol. Res. 3:20-30.
- Bhargav S, Panda BP, Ali M, Javed S (2008). Solid-state Fermentation : An Overview. Chem. Biochem. Eng. Q. 22(1):49-70.
- Bhimba BV, Yeswanth S, Naveena BE (2011). Characterization of extracellular amylase enzyme produced by *Aspergillus flavus* MV5 isolated from mangrove sediment. Indian J. Nat Prod. Resour. 2(2):170-173.
- Dzogbefia VP, Amoke E, Oldham JH, Ellis, WO (2001). Production and use of yeast pectolytic enzymes to aid pineapple juice extraction. Food Biotechnol. 15(1):25-34.
- Francis F, Sabu A, Nampoothiri KM, Ramachandran S, Ghosh S, Szakacs G, Pandey A (2003). Use of response surface methodology for optimizing process parameters for the production of α -amylase by *Aspergillus oryzae*. Biochem. Eng. J. 15(2):107-115.
- Gowthaman MK, Krishna C, Moo-Young M (2001). Fungal solid state fermentation an overview. Appl. Mycol. Biotechnol. 1:305-352.
- Haasum I, Eriksen SH, Jensen B, Olsen J (1991). Growth and glucoamylase production by the thermophilic fungus *Thermomyces lanuginosus* in a synthetic medium. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 34(5):656-660.
- Hassaïne O, Zadi Karam H, Karam N-E (2014). Statistical optimization of lactic acid production by *Lactococcus lactis* strain, using the central composite experimental design. Afri. J. Biotechnol 13(45):4259-4267.
- Hassan H, Karim KA (2015). Optimization of alpha amylase production from rice straw using solid-state fermentation of *Bacillus subtilis*. Int. J.Sci. Environ.Technol. 4(1):1-16.
- Johnson FS, Obeng AK, Asirifi I (2014). Amylase production by fungi isolated from Cassava processing site. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. Res. 4(4):23-30.

- Kalaiarasi K, Parvatham R (2013). Optimization of process parameters for α-amylase production under solid-state fermentation by Aspergillus awamori MTCC 9997. J. Sci. Ind. Res. 7(45):5166–5177.
- Lokeswari N (2010). Statistical optimization of experimental variables associated with production of alpha amylases by *Bacillus Subtilis* using banana agro- residual wastes in solid-state fermentation. Rasayan J. Chem. 3(1):172–178.
- Lowry OH, Rosenbrough OJ, Farr AL, Randall RJ (1951). Protein measurement with Folin Phenol Reagent. J. Biol. Chem. 193:265-275.
- Miller GL (1959). Use of Dinitrosalicyclic acid reagent for determination of reducing sugar. Anal. Chem. 31(3):426-428.
- Myers RH, Montgomery DC, Anderson-Cook CM (2009). Response surface methodology: Process and product optimization using designed experiments. (3rd ed.). New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons Inc.
- Nyamful A, Moses E, Ankudey E, Woode M (2014). Solid State Fermentation of Aspergillus niger MENA1E and Rhizopus MENACO11A for glucoamylase production on agricultural residues. Int. J. Sci. Res. Publ. 4(6):5-8.
- Ogbonna AI, Onwuliri FC, Ogbonna CIC (2015). Growth Response and Amylolytic Activity of two *Aspergillus* species isolated from *Artemisia annua* L. Plantation Soils. J. Acad. Indus. Res. 3(10):456-462.
- Okoko FJ, Ogbomo O (2010). Amylolytic properties of fungi associated with spoilage in bread. Continental J. Microbiol. 4:1-7.
- Oyedeji FN (2016). Amylolytic properties of fungi associated with spoilage of bread. Acad. Arena. 8(3):62-66.
- Pandey A, Selvakumar P, Soccol CR, Nigam P (1999). Solid state fermentation for the production of industrial enzymes. Curr. Sci. 77(1):149-162.
- Prajapati VS, Trivedi UB, Patel KC (2014). A statistical approach for the production of thermostable and alklophilic alpha-amylase from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* KCP2 under solid-state fermentation. 3 Biotech. 5(39):211-220.
- Reddy NS, Nimmagadda A, Rao KRSS (2003). An overview of the microbial α -amylase family. Afr. J Biotechnol. 2(12):645-648.
- Roses RP, Guerra NP (2009). Optimization of amylase production by Aspergillus niger in solid-state fermentation using sugarcane bagasse as solid support material. World J. Microbiol Biotechnol. 25(11):1929-1939.
- Ruban P, Sangeetha T, Indira S (2013). Starch waste as a substrate for amylase production by Sago Effluent isolates *Bacillus subtilis* and *Aspergillus niger*. American-Eurasian J. Agric. Environ Sci. 13(1):27-31.

- Saranraj P, Stella D (2013). Fungal Amylase- A Review. Intl. J. Microbiol. Res. 4(2):203-211.
- Sethi S, Gupta S (2015). Isolation, characterization and optimization of cultural conditions for amylase production from fungi. J. Glob. Biosci. 4(9):3356-3363.
- Shankar T, Sathees R, Anandapandian KTK (2015). Statistical optimization for ethanol production by Saccharomyces cerevisiae (MTCC 170) using Response Surface Methodology. J. Adv. Med. Life Sci. 2(3):6-10.
- Sindiri MK, Machavarapu M, Vangalapati MV (2013). α -Amylase production and purification using fermented orange peel in solid state fermentation by *Aspergillus niger*. Indian. J. Appl. Res. 8:49-51.
- Suganthi R, Benazir JF, Santhi R, Kumar R, Hari A, Meenakshi N, Lakshmi R (2011). Amylase production by *Aspergillus niger* under solid state fermentation using agroindustrial wastes. Int. J. Eng. Sci. Technol. 3(2):1756-1763.
- Sun JL, Liang XH, Zeng J, Li GL, Zhao RX (2011). Response Surface Methodology for the optimization of α-Amylase production by *Bacillus subtilis* ZJF-1A5. Adv. Mat. Res. 236-238:2323-2326.
- Tamilarasan K, Muthukumaran C, Kumar MD (2012). Application of response surface methodology to the optimization of amylase production by Aspergillus oryzae MTCC 1847. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 11(18):4241-4247.
- Uguru GC, Akinyanju JA, Sani A (1997). The use of yam peel for growth of locally isolated *Aspergillus nige*r and amylase production. Enzyme Microb. Technol. 21(1):48-51.
- Vishnu TS, Soniyamby AR, Praveesh BV, Hema TA (2014). Production and optimization of extracellular amylase from soil receiving kitchen waste isolate *Bacillus sp.* VS 04. World Appl. Sci. J. 29(7):961-967.
- Xu H, Sun L, Zhao D, Zhang B, Shi Y, Wu Y (2008). Production of αamylase by Aspergillus oryzae As 3951 in solid state fermentation using spent brewing grains as substrate. J. Sci. Food Agric. 88(6):529-535.

African Journal of Biochemistry Research

Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

International Journal of Plant Physiology and Biochemistry
 African Journal of Biotechnology
 Journal of Developmental Biology and Tissue Engineering

